Importance of non-linearities in a black-hole ringdown

Patrick Bourg based on arXiv:2405.10270

in collaboration with Rodrigo Panosso Macedo, Andrew Spiers, Benjamin Leather, Béatrice Bonga, Adam Pound

15th Central European Relativity Seminar



Radboud Universiteit

The gravitational-wave spectrum

THE SPECTRUM OF GRAVITATIONAL WAVES

Space-based observatory Pulsar timing array Cosmic microwave Observatories Ground-based & experiments experiment background polarisation Timescales seconds Frequency (Hz) Cosmic fluctuations in the early Universe Cosmic sources Compact object falling onto a supermassive Merging supermassive black holes Supernova Pulsar black hole Merging neutron Merging stellar-mass black holes Merging white dwarfs stars in other galaxies in our Galaxy in other galaxies

eesa

LISA - Definition Study Report

ESA UNCLASSIFIED - Releasable to the Public



ESA-SCI-DIR-RP-002 September 2023

LISA

Laser Interferometer Space Antenna



Definition Study Report

LISA - Mission summary

Science Objectives

- Study the formation and evolution of compact binary stars and the structure of the Milky Way Galaxy
- Trace the origins, growth and merger histories of massive Black Holes across cosmic epochs
- Probe the properties and immediate environments of Black Holes in the local Universe using extreme mass-ratio inspirals and intermediate mass-ratio inspirals
- Understand the astrophysics of stellar-mass Black Holes
- Explore the fundamental nature of gravity and Black Holes
- Probe the rate of expansion of the Universe with standard sirens
- Understand stochastic gravitational wave backgrounds and their implications for the early Universe and TeV-scale particle physics
- Search for gravitational wave bursts and unforeseen sources



Gravitational waveform signal from inspiral binaries



Credit: Marc Favata/SXS/Kip Thorne

Ringdown: Quasi-normal modes



Ringdown: Quasi-normal modes



Ringdown: Quasi-normal modes



Black-hole spectroscopy

Main point: Each $\omega_{\ell m n}$ depend only on the Kerr parameters!

$$\omega_{\ell m n} = \omega_{\ell m n}(M_f, a_f)$$

The detection of a single QNM mode (for example the $(\ell, m, n) = (2, 2, 0)$) gives an estimate of $(M_f, a_f)!$

 \implies Black-hole spectroscopy: the detection of multiple QNMs can be used to test if the remnant is a Kerr black-hole and the no-hair theorem.

QNM data analysis — first-order



When does ringdown start?

- some $\propto M$ after the peak?
- Which peak? h_+ ? h_{\times} ? Ψ_4 ?

Other issues

- QNMs form an incomplete set!
- QNM frequencies are unstable: spectral instability
- Growing evidence of detectable non-linear effects

QNM data analysis — first-order



When does ringdown start?

- some $\propto M$ after the peak?
- Which peak? h_+ ? h_{\times} ? Ψ_4 ?

Other issues

- QNMs form an incomplete set!
- QNM frequencies are unstable: spectral instability
- Growing evidence of detectable non-linear effects

$$\mathcal{O}(\Psi_4^{(1)}) = 0$$

$$\mathcal{O}(\Psi_4^{(2)}) = \mathcal{S}(\Psi_4^{(1)}, \Psi_4^{(1)})$$

$$\mathcal{O}(\Psi_4^{(2)}) = \mathcal{S}(\Psi_4^{(1)}, \Psi_4^{(1)})$$

$$\Psi_4^{(2)} = \Psi_{4,LM}^{(2)}(r)e^{-i\omega_{LM}^{(2)}t} {}_{-2}Y_{LM}(\theta, \phi) \quad \Psi_4^{(1)} = \Psi_{4,\ell mn}^{(1)}(r)e^{-i\omega_{\ell mn}^{(1)}t} {}_{-2}Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi)$$

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{O}(\Psi_4^{(2)}) &= \mathcal{S}(\Psi_4^{(1)}, \Psi_4^{(1)}) \\ \Psi_4^{(2)} &= \Psi_{4,LM}^{(2)}(r) e^{-i\omega_{LM}^{(2)}t} {}_{-2}Y_{LM}(\theta, \phi) \quad \Psi_4^{(1)} &= \Psi_{4,\ell mn}^{(1)}(r) e^{-i\omega_{\ell mn}^{(1)}t} {}_{-2}Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi) \\ &\implies \Psi_{4,LM}^{(2)}(r) e^{-i\omega_{LM}^{(2)}t} \sim \Psi_{4,\ell mn}^{(1)}(r) \times \Psi_{4,\ell mn}^{(1)}(r) e^{-2i\omega_{\ell mn}^{(1)}t} \end{split}$$

Use Teukolsky equation.

$$\mathcal{O}(\Psi_4^{(2)}) = \mathcal{S}(\Psi_4^{(1)}, \Psi_4^{(1)})$$

$$\Psi_4^{(2)} = \Psi_{4,LM}^{(2)}(r)e^{-i\omega_{LM}^{(2)}t} {}_{-2}Y_{LM}(\theta, \phi) \quad \Psi_4^{(1)} = \Psi_{4,\ell mn}^{(1)}(r)e^{-i\omega_{\ell mn}^{(1)}t} {}_{-2}Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi)$$

$$\implies \Psi_{4,LM}^{(2)}(r)e^{-i\omega_{LM}^{(2)}t} \sim \Psi_{4,\ell mn}^{(1)}(r) \times \Psi_{4,\ell mn}^{(1)}(r)e^{-2i\omega_{\ell mn}^{(1)}t}$$

Frequency

Amplitude at \mathcal{I}^+

Use Teukolsky equation.

$$\mathcal{O}(\Psi_4^{(2)}) = \mathcal{S}(\Psi_4^{(1)}, \Psi_4^{(1)})$$

$$\Psi_4^{(2)} = \Psi_{4,LM}^{(2)}(r)e^{-i\omega_{LM}^{(2)}t} {}_{-2}Y_{LM}(\theta, \phi) \quad \Psi_4^{(1)} = \Psi_{4,\ell mn}^{(1)}(r)e^{-i\omega_{\ell mn}^{(1)}t} {}_{-2}Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi)$$

$$\implies \Psi_{4,LM}^{(2)}(r)e^{-i\omega_{LM}^{(2)}t} \sim \Psi_{4,\ell mn}^{(1)}(r) \times \Psi_{4,\ell mn}^{(1)}(r)e^{-2i\omega_{\ell mn}^{(1)}t}$$

Frequency

Amplitude at \mathcal{I}^+

$$\omega_{LM}^{(2)} = 2\omega_{\ell mn}^{(1)}$$

8/14

Use Teukolsky equation.

$$\mathcal{O}(\Psi_4^{(2)}) = \mathcal{S}(\Psi_4^{(1)}, \Psi_4^{(1)})$$

$$\Psi_4^{(2)} = \Psi_{4,LM}^{(2)}(r)e^{-i\omega_{LM}^{(2)}t} {}_{-2}Y_{LM}(\theta, \phi) \quad \Psi_4^{(1)} = \Psi_{4,\ell mn}^{(1)}(r)e^{-i\omega_{\ell mn}^{(1)}t} {}_{-2}Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi)$$

$$\implies \Psi_{4,LM}^{(2)}(r)e^{-i\omega_{LM}^{(2)}t} \sim \Psi_{4,\ell mn}^{(1)}(r) \times \Psi_{4,\ell mn}^{(1)}(r)e^{-2i\omega_{\ell mn}^{(1)}t}$$

Amplitude at \mathcal{I}^+

$$\omega_{LM}^{(2)} = 2\omega_{\ell mn}^{(1)}$$

Frequency

$$\Psi_{4,LM}^{(2)} \sim \Psi_{4,\ell mn}^{(1)} \times \Psi_{4,\ell mn}^{(1)}$$

8/14

Use Teukolsky equation.

Frequency

 $\omega_{LM}^{(2)} = 2\omega_{\ell mn}^{(1)}$

$$\mathcal{O}(\Psi_4^{(2)}) = \mathcal{S}(\Psi_4^{(1)}, \Psi_4^{(1)})$$

$$\Psi_4^{(2)} = \Psi_{4,LM}^{(2)}(r)e^{-i\omega_{LM}^{(2)}t} {}_{-2}Y_{LM}(\theta, \phi) \quad \Psi_4^{(1)} = \Psi_{4,\ell mn}^{(1)}(r)e^{-i\omega_{\ell mn}^{(1)}t} {}_{-2}Y_{\ell m}(\theta, \phi)$$

$$\implies \Psi_{4,LM}^{(2)}(r)e^{-i\omega_{LM}^{(2)}t} \sim \Psi_{4,\ell mn}^{(1)}(r) \times \Psi_{4,\ell mn}^{(1)}(r)e^{-2i\omega_{\ell mn}^{(1)}t}$$

Amplitude at \mathcal{I}^+

$$\Psi_{4,LM}^{(2)} \sim \Psi_{4,\ell mn}^{(1)} \times \Psi_{4,\ell mn}^{(1)}$$

Can we make this more precise? 8/14

Until recently, assumed:

$$\Psi_{4,LM}^{(2)} = a_{\ell m n} \Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)} \times \Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)}$$

Until recently, assumed:

 $\Psi_{4,LM}^{(2)} = a_{\ell m n} \Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)} \times \Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)}$

Only depends on background quantities, $a_{\ell m n} =$ $a_{\ell m n}(M_f, a_f)$

Until recently, assumed:



Only depends on background quantities, $a_{\ell m n} =$ $a_{\ell m n}(M_f, a_f)$

Until recently, assumed:



Only depends on background quantities, $a_{\ell m n} =$ $a_{\ell m n}(M_f, a_f)$

 $\implies \mathcal{R}$ is a constant: it only depends on M_f and a_f

Until recently, assumed:



Only depends on background quantities, $a_{\ell m n} =$ $a_{\ell m n}(M_f, a_f)$

 $\implies \mathcal{R}$ is a constant: it only depends on M_f and a_f

Issue: different papers find different values of this ratio \mathcal{R} ?

Why is this happening?

Why is this happening?

In reality, the source term is actually made up of $\ensuremath{\textbf{two}}$ different kinds of products:

$$\mathcal{S}(\Psi_4^{(1)},\Psi_4^{(1)})\sim \Psi_4^{(1)}\times \Psi_4^{(1)} \text{ and } \Psi_4^{(1)}\times \left(\Psi_4^{(1)}\right)^\star$$

 \implies there are actually **two** ways to obtain a frequency of $2\times\omega_{\ell mn}$ at second-order:

Why is this happening?

In reality, the source term is actually made up of $\ensuremath{\textbf{two}}$ different kinds of products:

$$\mathcal{S}(\Psi_4^{(1)},\Psi_4^{(1)}) \sim \Psi_4^{(1)} imes \Psi_4^{(1)}$$
 and $\Psi_4^{(1)} imes \left(\Psi_4^{(1)}
ight)^\star$

 \implies there are actually **two** ways to obtain a frequency of $2\times\omega_{\ell mn}$ at second-order:

$$\left(\Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)} e^{-i\omega_{\ell m n}t}\right) \times \left(\Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)} e^{-i\omega_{\ell m n}t}\right)$$

Why is this happening?

In reality, the source term is actually made up of $\ensuremath{\textbf{two}}$ different kinds of products:

$$\mathcal{S}(\Psi_4^{(1)},\Psi_4^{(1)})\sim \Psi_4^{(1)}\times \Psi_4^{(1)} \text{ and } \Psi_4^{(1)}\times \left(\Psi_4^{(1)}\right)^\star$$

 \implies there are actually **two** ways to obtain a frequency of $2\times\omega_{\ell mn}$ at second-order:

$$\left(\Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)} e^{-i\omega_{\ell m n} t}\right) \times \left(\Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)} e^{-i\omega_{\ell m n} t}\right)$$

and

$$\left(\Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)} e^{-i\omega_{\ell m n}t}\right) \times \left(\Psi_{4,\ell-m n}^{(1)} e^{-i\omega_{\ell-m n}t}\right)^{\star}$$

Why is this happening?

In reality, the source term is actually made up of $\ensuremath{\textbf{two}}$ different kinds of products:

$$\mathcal{S}(\Psi_4^{(1)},\Psi_4^{(1)})\sim \Psi_4^{(1)}\times \Psi_4^{(1)} \text{ and } \Psi_4^{(1)}\times \left(\Psi_4^{(1)}\right)^\star$$

 \implies there are actually **two** ways to obtain a frequency of $2\times\omega_{\ell mn}$ at second-order:

$$\left(\Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)} e^{-i\omega_{\ell m n} t}\right) \times \left(\Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)} e^{-i\omega_{\ell m n} t}\right)$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{4,\ell mn}^{(1)} e^{-i\omega_{\ell mn}t} \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{4,\ell-mn}^{(1)} e^{-i\omega_{\ell-mn}t} \end{pmatrix}^{\star}$$
regular mode complex conjugation mirror mode

10/14

$$\Psi_{4,LM}^{(2)} = a_{\ell m n} \Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)} \times \Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)}$$

$$\Psi_{4,LM}^{(2)} = a_{\ell m n} \Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)} \times \Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)}$$
$$+ b_{\ell m n} \Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)} \times (\Psi_{4,\ell - m n}^{(1)})^{\star}$$

$$\Psi_{4,LM}^{(2)} = a_{\ell m n} \Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)} \times \Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)}$$
$$+ b_{\ell m n} \Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)} \times (\Psi_{4,\ell-m n}^{(1)})^{\star}$$
$$\implies \mathcal{R} = \frac{\Psi_{4}^{(2)}}{\Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)} \times \Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)}} = a_{\ell m n} + b_{\ell m n} \frac{(\Psi_{4,\ell-m n}^{(1)})^{\star}}{\Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)}}$$

As a result:

$$\Psi_{4,LM}^{(2)} = a_{\ell m n} \Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)} \times \Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)}$$
$$+ b_{\ell m n} \Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)} \times (\Psi_{4,\ell - m n}^{(1)})^{\star}$$
$$\implies \mathcal{R} = \frac{\Psi_{4}^{(2)}}{\Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)} \times \Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)}} = a_{\ell m n} + b_{\ell m n} \frac{(\Psi_{4,\ell - m n}^{(1)})^{\star}}{\Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)}}$$

 $\implies \mathcal{R}$ still depends on the initial data!!!

As a result:

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{4,LM}^{(2)} &= a_{\ell m n} \Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)} \times \Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)} \\ &+ b_{\ell m n} \Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)} \times (\Psi_{4,\ell - m n}^{(1)})^{\star} \\ \implies \mathcal{R} &= \frac{\Psi_{4}^{(2)}}{\Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)} \times \Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)}} = a_{\ell m n} + b_{\ell m n} \underbrace{ \begin{pmatrix} \Psi_{4,\ell - m n}^{(1)} \end{pmatrix}^{\star} }{\Psi_{4,\ell m n}^{(1)}} \\ \implies \mathcal{R} \text{ still depends on the initial data!!!} \\ \end{split}$$

11/14



QQNM dependence on linear mode parity

 $(4,0) = (2,0,0) \times (2,0,0)$



· - • / - • •

Conclusion

- With future space-based GW detectors, such as LISA, we can explore yet uncharted territories.
- The black-hole spectroscopy program aims at establishing if the merger remnant is Kerr and test the no-hair theorem.
- Conclusive evidence shows that precise measurement of the waveform ringdown must account for non-linear effect.
- We showed the second-order contribution depends on the ratio of even to odd linear parity modes.
- This dependence has been historically overlooked and can be used to obtain better error estimates of the ratio of second-order to parent linear-order modes.

Future directions:

- Generalise the formalism to Kerr.
- Investigate second-order effects at the horizon
- Investigate branch cut contribution.