Some ongoing Efforts for Evolving Einstein Field Equations on Hyperboloidal Slices

Shalabh Gautam¹

Collaborators: Alex Vañó-Viñuales², Edgar Gasperín² David Hilditch², Sukanta Bose³

 ¹International Centre for Theoretical Sciences (ICTS), Survey No. 151, Shivakote, Hesaraghatta Hobli, Bengaluru - 560 089, India.
²CENTRA, Departamento de Física, Instituto Superior Técnico IST, Universidade de Lisboa UL, Avenida Rovisco Pais 1, 1049 Lisboa, Portugal
³Inter-University Centre for Astronomy and Astrophysics (IUCAA), Post Bag 4, Ganeshkhind, Pune 411007, India

CERS12 Budapest, Feb 23, 2022

Motivation

Why Hyperboloidal slices?

- Need to include future null infinity, *I*⁺, in the computational domain with a well-posed formalism.
- ► Why *I*+?
 - The only region where Gravitational Waves (GW) can be defined unambiguously.
 - Useful for studying phenomena of fundamental interest, like weak cosmic censorship conjecture, black hole formation, etc.
- Existing methods like Extrapolation from Cauchy evolution, CCE and CCM introduce errors due to their limitations.

Existing method 1: Extrapolation



Figure: Extrapolation schematics.

Extrapolation Methods from Cauchy evolution:

Boundary Errors, Extrapolation Errors.

Existing method 2: Cauchy Characteristic Extraction (CCE): (PRD 54, 6153)

- Data extracted at a timelike artificial boundary at a finite radius. Introduces gauge ambiguities.
- Unphysical boundary conditions at the boundary of the Cauchy domain. Limits time evolution.
- One-sided propagation of the signal.



Figure: CCE schematics.

Source: C. Reisswig, N. T. Bishop, D. Pollney, and B. Szilgyi, Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 221101 (2009). Proposed method: Cauchy Characteristic Matching (CCM): (Winicour, 2009).

- Cauchy and Characteristic regions evolved at once. Data exchanged through boundary.
- ▶ 3D, in construction.
- Present formulations possibly ill-posed. (e.g. PRD 102, 064035).



Figure: CCM schematics. Credit: Alex Vañó-Viñuales.

Hyperboloidal Slices¹,²

- Meet 𝒴⁺, instead of i⁰ ⇒ signal can be directly collected at 𝒴⁺.
- Overcomes all problem encountered in the existing methods.
- More gauge freedom than null slices.
- Suitable for dealing with initial-boundary value problems when initial data is not of compact support.



Figure: Hyperboloidal slices in Minkowski spacetime.

¹Mathematical front: Friedrich 1981-86, LeFloch et. al. 2014-19 etc.
²Numerical front: Hübner 1993, Frauendiener 1998-2006, Zenginoğlu 2005-11, Moncrief and Rinne 2009-18, Vañó-Viñuales 2015-18 etc.

Formulating EFEs on Hyperboloidal Slices

ToDo list:

- Goal 1: Derive a well-posed formulation of the Einstein Field Equations (EFEs) on hyperboloidal slices.
- Goal 2: Derive a discretization scheme preserving the well-posedness for the discrete Einstein Field Equation (dEFE) on hyperboloidal slices.

Our Approach:

- Goal 1a: Achieved by using the Dual-Foliation (DF) formalism³ together with the Generalized Harmonic Gauge (GHG)⁴.
- ► Goal 1b: Derive a suitable regularization scheme.
- Goal 2: Discretization scheme: Need to start from scratch, the Linear Wave Equation.

³(Hilditch 2015, 2016)

⁴Fourès-Bruhat 1952, Lindblad and Rodnianski 2003, Lindblom 2006, Gasperín and Hilditch 2018 etc.

Vacuum EFEs: Asymptotic Analysis (GBU Paper)⁵ E. Gasperin, SG, D. Hilditch, A. Vañó-Viñuales, CQG, Vol. 37, No. 3

- EFEs in Harmonic Gauge (HG) give three classes of asymptotic equations.
- Good fields 'g', Bad fields 'b', Ugly fields 'u':

$$\Box g = 0, \quad \Box b = (\partial_T g)^2,$$
$$\Box u = \frac{2}{R} \partial_T u.$$

•
$$g \sim 1/R$$
, $b \sim \ln R/R$,
 $u \sim 1/R^2$ towards \mathscr{I}^+





Vacuum EFEs: Asymptotic Analysis (GBU Paper) E. Gasperin, SG, D. Hilditch, A. Vañó-Viñuales, CQG, Vol. 37, No. 3

- EFEs in Harmonic Gauge (HG) give three classes of asymptotic equations.
- Good fields 'g', Bad fields 'b', Ugly fields 'u':

$$\Box g = 0, \quad \Box b = (\partial_T g)^2,$$
$$\Box u = \frac{2}{R} \partial_T u.$$

• $g \sim 1/R$, $b \sim \ln R/R$, $u \sim 1/R^2$ towards \mathscr{I}^+ .



Vacuum EFEs: Asymptotic Analysis (GBU Paper) E. Gasperin, SG, D. Hilditch, A. Vañó-Viñuales, CQG, Vol. 37, No. 3

- EFEs in Harmonic Gauge (HG) give three classes of asymptotic equations.
- Good fields 'g', Bad fields 'b', Ugly fields 'u':

$$\Box g = 0, \quad \Box b = (\partial_T g)^2$$
$$\Box u = \frac{2}{R} \partial_T u.$$

• $g \sim 1/R$, $b \sim \ln R/R$, $u \sim 1/R^2$ towards \mathscr{I}^+ .



Goal 2: Summation-by-Parts (SBP) scheme SG, A. Vañó-Viñuales, D. Hilditch, S. Bose, PRD 103, 084045

 Derived for the class of equations

$$(\Box - F)\psi = 0,$$

all in spherical symmetry, $F = F(R), \ \psi = \psi(T, R).$ (one e.g. in Ma's talk)

- Assures stability by guaranteeing $\dot{\hat{E}} \leq 0$ on these slices, for all times.
- Lax Equivalence Theorem implies convergence at the desired order.



Figure: Propagation of a narrow pulse to \mathscr{I}^+ .

Goal 2: Summation-by-Parts (SBP) scheme

SG, A. Vañó-Viñuales, D. Hilditch, S. Bose, PRD 103, 084045

 Derived for the class of equations

$$(\Box - F)\psi = 0,$$

all in spherical symmetry F = F(R), $\psi = \psi(T, R)$. (one e.g. in Ma's talk)

- Assures stability by guaranteeing $\dot{\hat{E}} \leq 0$ on these slices, for all times.
- Lax Equivalence Theorem implies convergence at the desired order.



Figure: Discrete energy vs continuum energy.

Goal 2: Summation-by-Parts (SBP) scheme

SG, A. Vañó-Viñuales, D. Hilditch, S. Bose, PRD 103, 084045

 Derived for the class of equations

$$(\Box - F)\psi = 0,$$

all in spherical symmetry F = F(R), $\psi = \psi(T, R)$. (one e.g. in Ma's talk)

- Assures stability by guaranteeing $\dot{\hat{E}} \leq 0$ on these slices, for all times.
- Lax Equivalence Theorem implies convergence at the desired order.



Figure: Convergence order in the energy norm.

Goal 2: Summation-by-Parts (SBP) scheme

SG, A. Vañó-Viñuales, D. Hilditch, S. Bose, PRD 103, 084045

 Derived for the class of equations

$$(\Box - F)\psi = 0,$$

all in spherical symmetry $F = F(R), \ \psi = \psi(T, R).$ (one e.g. in Ma's talk)

- Assures stability by guaranteeing $\dot{\hat{E}} \leq 0$ on these slices, for all times.
- Lax Equivalence Theorem implies convergence at the desired order.



Figure: Convergence order at \mathscr{I}^+ .

Spherical GR: (Ongoing)

Why Spherical symmetry?

- To solve the problems involving spherical symmetry, like spherical collapse etc.
- A stepping stone to full 3d.
- In spherical symmetry, the metric has the following general form

$$\mathbf{g} = \begin{pmatrix} g_{TT} & g_{TR} & 0 & 0 \\ g_{TR} & g_{RR} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & g_{\theta\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & g_{\theta\theta} \sin^2 \theta \end{pmatrix}$$

Spherical GR: (Ongoing)

Converting into a GBU structure requires the following form

$$\mathbf{g} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2e^{\delta}C_{+}C_{-}}{C_{+}-C_{-}} & \frac{e^{\delta}(C_{-}+C_{+})}{C_{-}-C_{+}} & 0 & 0\\ \frac{e^{\delta}(C_{-}+C_{+})}{C_{-}-C_{+}} & \frac{2e^{\delta}}{C_{+}-C_{-}} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \hat{R}^{2} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \hat{R}^{2}\sin^{2}\theta \end{pmatrix}$$

▶ Dynamical variables: C_{\pm} , δ , \mathring{R} , all functions of (T, R).

•

Spherical GR:

For your eyes only!

• 'Causal structure' variables C_{\pm}^R :

$$\begin{split} \nabla_{\xi} \left(\frac{\mathring{R}^{2}}{e^{\delta_{\kappa}}} \nabla_{\underline{\xi}} C^{R}_{+} \right) + \mathring{R} \nabla_{\xi} H^{\eta} + \mathring{R} (\nabla_{\xi} \mathring{R}) \nabla_{\xi} C^{R}_{+} + 8\pi e^{-\delta} \mathring{R}^{2} T_{\xi\xi} = 0 \,, \\ \nabla_{\underline{\xi}} \left(\frac{\mathring{R}^{2}}{e^{\delta_{\kappa}}} \nabla_{\xi} C^{R}_{-} \right) + \mathring{R} \nabla_{\underline{\xi}} H^{\underline{\eta}} + \mathring{R} (\nabla_{\underline{\xi}} \mathring{R}) \nabla_{\underline{\xi}} C^{R}_{-} + 8\pi e^{-\delta} \mathring{R}^{2} T_{\underline{\xi\xi}} = 0 \,. \end{split}$$

• 'Determinant' variables $(e^{\delta}, \mathring{R})$:

$$\begin{split} \nabla_{a} \left(\frac{\xi^{a}}{e^{\delta_{\kappa}}} \nabla_{\underline{\xi}} \delta \right) &- \mathring{R} \nabla_{a} \left(\frac{H^{a}}{\mathring{R}} \right) + \frac{2}{\mathring{R}^{2} e^{\delta_{\kappa}}} (\nabla_{\xi} \mathring{R}) (\nabla_{\underline{\xi}} \mathring{R}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4e^{\delta_{\kappa}3}} \left(\nabla_{\underline{\xi}} C_{-}^{R} \nabla_{\xi} C_{+}^{R} - \nabla_{\underline{\xi}} C_{+}^{R} \nabla_{\xi} C_{-}^{R} \right) + 16\pi T_{T} = 0 \,, \\ \nabla_{a} \left(\frac{\xi^{a}}{e^{\delta_{\kappa}}} \nabla_{\underline{\xi}} \mathring{R}^{2} \right) + 2 = 0 \,. \end{split}$$

Some preliminary results:



Some preliminary results:



Summary and Conclusions

Continuum analysis:

- Using asymptotic analysis, derived a regularization scheme on hyperboloidal slices for a 'model system' of equations that mimic the asymptotic form of the Einstein Field Equations (EFEs) in harmonic gauge (HG).
- Propose that the EFEs in HG, and in generalized harmonic gauge (GHG) can be regularized similarly (work in progress).
- Discrete analysis:
 - Derived a 'good' discretization scheme on hyperboloidal slices that assures stability, and hence convergence, for a class of linear wave equations.
 - Propose that a similar scheme can improve the numerical results for the EFEs in GHG (future plan).
- Obtained some promising results for the spherical EFEs in GHG on hyperboloidal slices (stay tuned).

Thank You for your Attention!! Questions? Comments?

References

- Friedrich H., 1981, Proc. R. Soc. A 375, 169-84
- Friedrich H., 1981, Proc. R. Soc. A 378, 401-21
- Zenginoglu A., 2008, CQG 25, 195025
- A. Zenginoglu, PRD 83, 127502 (2011)
- Frauendiener J., 2004, Liv. Rev. Rel. 7
- Hilditch D. 2015, arXiv:1509.02071
- Hilditch D. et. al. 2018, CQG 35, 055003
- Lindblom L. et. al., 2006, CQG 23, S447-62
- Vañó-Viñuales A. et. al., 2015, CQG 32, 175010
- Vañó-Viñuales A. et. al., 2018, CQG 35, 045014
- Vañó-Viñuales A. 2015, PhD Thesis.
- C. Gundlach et. al. CQG 30, 145003 (2013)

Dual-Foliation Formalism

- Consider two foliations of a spacetime X^µ = (T, Xⁱ) and x^µ = (t, xⁱ), in spacelike hypersurfaces and relate the two geometries.
- Using a 3 + 1 split of the Jacobian $J^{\mu}_{\mu} = dX^{\mu}/dx^{\mu}$

$$\partial_{\mathcal{T}} = (J^{-1})^{\mu} \underline{\mathcal{T}} \partial_{\mu}, \quad \partial_{\underline{i}} = (J^{-1})^{\mu} \underline{\mathcal{I}} \partial_{\mu}.$$

- Dynamical variables, or the tensor basis, remain the same. Only the coordinates are transformed.
- An equation for a state vector $\mathbf{u} = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n\}$ of the form

$$\partial_T \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{A}^{\underline{p}} \partial_{\underline{p}} \mathbf{u} + A \mathbf{S}$$

becomes

$$\partial_t \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{B}^p \, \partial_p \mathbf{u} + B \, \mathbf{S} \, .$$

Nonlinear Change of Variables

Define Operator

$$L^a = (\partial_T)^a + (\partial_R)^a$$
, $\underline{L}^a = (\partial_T)^a - (\partial_R)^a$, $s = \ln R$.

Good Fields:

$$G^+ = RL(Rg), \quad G^- = R\underline{L}g, \quad G = Rg.$$

Bad Fields:

$$B^+ = RL(Rb + \frac{1}{8}s\eta), \quad B^- = R\underline{L}b + \frac{1}{8}s\underline{L}\eta, \quad B = Rb + \frac{1}{8}s\eta.$$

Ugly Fields:

$$U^+ = RL(R^2u), \quad U^- = R^2\underline{L}u, \quad U = R^2u.$$

$$\blacktriangleright \ \partial_T \eta = (G^-)^2.$$