

# Necessary Conditions for the Existence of the Carter Constant in a General Axially Symmetric Stationary Spacetime in Plasma and Applications

B. Bezděková<sup>1</sup>, V. Perlick<sup>2</sup>, J. Bičák<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Theoretical Physics, Faculty of Mathematics and  
Physics, Charles University, Prague, Czech Republic

<sup>2</sup> ZARM, University of Bremen, Bremen, Germany

---

It is useful to apply the Hamiltonian formalism with Hamiltonian in the form<sup>1</sup>

$$\mathcal{H}(x^\alpha, p_\alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ g^{\beta\delta} p_\beta p_\delta + \omega_{pl}^2(x^\alpha) \right],$$

where  $g^{\beta\delta}$  is the spacetime metric,  $x^\alpha$  are the spacetime coordinates,  $p_\alpha$  denotes the wave vector, and

$$\omega_{pl}^2 = \frac{e^2 N(x)}{\epsilon_0 m_e}$$

is the plasma electron frequency. To propagate through a medium, wave frequency  $\omega(x^\alpha)$  must obey  $\omega(x^\alpha) > \omega_{pl}(x^\alpha)$ .

Hamilton equations of motion are

$$\frac{dx^\alpha}{d\lambda} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_\alpha}, \quad \frac{dp_\alpha}{d\lambda} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial x^\alpha}.$$

<sup>1</sup>Based on Synge [1960].

An arbitrary axially symmetric stationary object can be described by the metric in a general form

$$ds^2 = -A(x_1, x_2)dk_1^2 + B(x_1, x_2)dx_1^2 + 2P(x_1, x_2)dk_1dk_2 + C(x_1, x_2)dk_2^2 + D(x_1, x_2)dx_2^2.$$

$B(x_1, x_2), D(x_1, x_2)$  – positive functions of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ ,  
 $A(x_1, x_2), C(x_1, x_2)$ , and  $P(x_1, x_2)$  – generally of an arbitrary sign

Notice, e.g. dependence on angular momentum  $a$ .

Let us further assume that plasma frequency  $\omega_{pl}^2(x^\alpha)$  is solely function of coordinates  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , i.e.  $\omega_{pl}^2(x_1, x_2)$ .

From the equations of motion one gets

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial k_1} = 0 &\quad \rightarrow \quad p_{k_1}, \\ \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial k_2} = 0 &\quad \rightarrow \quad p_{k_2}.\end{aligned}$$

Let us further denote  $p_{k_1} \equiv -\omega_0$ ,  $p_{k_2} \equiv p_{\kappa}$ .

It holds

$$\mathcal{H}(x^\alpha, p_\alpha) = 0.$$

To get a closed (separated) system of equations, one more parameter is needed – Carter constant  $\mathcal{K}$ .

$$\mathcal{H}(x^\alpha, p_\alpha) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(x^\alpha, \frac{\partial S}{\partial x^\alpha})$$

Assume  $S$  can be separated as follows:

$$S(k_1, k_2, x_1, x_2) = -\omega_0 k_1 + p_\kappa k_2 + S_{x_1}(x_1) + S_{x_2}(x_2).$$

Then, the Hamilton-Jacobi equation takes the form

$$0 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{1}{B(x_1, x_2)} \left( \frac{\partial S_{x_1}}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{D(x_1, x_2)} \left( \frac{\partial S_{x_2}}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 + \omega_{pl}^2(x_1, x_2) + \frac{p_\kappa^2 A(x_1, x_2) - \omega_0^2 C(x_1, x_2) - 2\omega_0 p_\kappa P(x_1, x_2)}{A(x_1, x_2)C(x_1, x_2) + P^2(x_1, x_2)} \right]. \quad (1)$$

To obtain terms associated with partial derivatives of  $S_{x_1}$ ,  $S_{x_2}$  solely as functions of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ , let us multiply (1) by an arbitrary function  $F(x_1, x_2)$  to get

$$0 = \frac{1}{2} \left[ \frac{F(x_1, x_2)}{B(x_1, x_2)} \left( \frac{\partial S_{x_1}}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 + \frac{F(x_1, x_2)}{D(x_1, x_2)} \left( \frac{\partial S_{x_2}}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 + \frac{F(x_1, x_2) (p_\kappa^2 A(x_1, x_2) - \omega_0^2 C(x_1, x_2) - 2\omega_0 p_\kappa P(x_1, x_2))}{A(x_1, x_2)C(x_1, x_2) + P^2(x_1, x_2)} + F(x_1, x_2)\omega_{pl}^2(x_1, x_2) \right]$$

Function  $F(x_1, x_2)$  has to be such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{F(x_1, x_2)}{B(x_1, x_2)} &\equiv \mathcal{F}(x_1) \quad \wedge \quad \frac{F(x_1, x_2)}{D(x_1, x_2)} \equiv \mathcal{G}(x_2) \\ \rightarrow \quad \frac{B(x_1, x_2)}{D(x_1, x_2)} &= \frac{\mathcal{G}(x_2)}{\mathcal{F}(x_1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{F(x_1, x_2)}{A(x_1, x_2)C(x_1, x_2) + P^2(x_1, x_2)} X(x_1, x_2) = X_{x_1} + X_{x_2},$$

where  $X(x_1, x_2)$  stands for  $A(x_1, x_2)$ ,  $C(x_1, x_2)$ , and  $P(x_1, x_2)$ , and

$$\omega_{pl}^2(x_1, x_2) \equiv \frac{f_{x_1}(x_1) + f_{x_2}(x_2)}{F(x_1, x_2)}.$$

Using the new forms of corresponding terms gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{F}(x_1) \left( \frac{\partial S_{x_1}}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 + f_{x_1}(x_1) + p_\kappa^2 A_{x_1} - \omega_0^2 C_{x_1} - 2\omega_0 p_\kappa P_{x_1} \\ &= -\mathcal{G}(x_2) \left( \frac{\partial S_{x_2}}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 - f_{x_2}(x_2) - p_\kappa^2 A_{x_2} + \omega_0^2 C_{x_2} + 2\omega_0 p_\kappa P_{x_2} \equiv -\mathcal{K}. \end{aligned}$$



Starting from the Hamilton equation

$$\dot{x}_1 = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_{x_1}} = \frac{p_{x_1}}{B(x_1, x_2)},$$

we find

$$B^2(x_1, x_2) \mathcal{F}(x_1) \dot{x}_1^2 = -\mathcal{K} - f_{x_1} - p_{x_1}^2 A_{x_1} + \omega_0^2 C_{x_1} + 2\omega_0 p_{x_1} P_{x_1} \equiv R(x_1)$$

Condition  $\dot{x}_1 = \ddot{x}_1 = 0$  leads to

$$p_{x_1} = \frac{\omega_0 P'_{x_1}}{A'_{x_1}} \left( 1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{A'_{x_1}}{P'^2_{x_1}} \left( \frac{f'_{x_1}}{\omega_0^2} - C'_{x_1} \right)} \right),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K} = & \frac{A_{x_1}}{A'_{x_1}} \left( f'_{x_1} - \omega_0^2 C'_{x_1} \right) + \omega_0^2 C_{x_1} + 2 \frac{\omega_0^2 P'_{x_1}}{A'_{x_1}} \left( P_{x_1} - \frac{A_{x_1} P'_{x_1}}{A'_{x_1}} \right) \times \\ & \left( 1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{A'_{x_1}}{P'^2_{x_1}} \left( \frac{f'_{x_1}}{\omega_0^2} - C'_{x_1} \right)} \right) - f_{x_1}. \end{aligned}$$

From

$$\dot{x}_2 = \frac{\partial \mathcal{H}}{\partial p_{x_2}} = \frac{p_{x_2}}{D(x_1, x_2)}$$

$$\rightarrow D^2(x_1, x_2) \mathcal{G}(x_2) \dot{x}_2^2 = \mathcal{K} - f_{x_2} - p_\kappa^2 A_{x_2} + \omega_0^2 C_{x_2} + 2\omega_0 p_\kappa P_{x_2},$$

so it has to hold

$$\mathcal{K} - f_{x_2} \geq p_\kappa^2 A_{x_2} - \omega_0^2 C_{x_2} - 2\omega_0 p_\kappa P_{x_2}. \quad (2)$$

Notice that we can omit the multiplication of function  $\mathcal{G}(x_2)$  on both sides of formula (2).

Plugging the expressions for  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $p_\kappa$  obtained above leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{A_{x_1}}{A'_{x_1}} \left( \frac{f'_{x_1}}{\omega_0^2} - C'_{x_1} \right) + C_{x_1} - \frac{f_{x_1} + f_{x_2}}{\omega_0^2} + \\
 & + 2 \frac{P'_{x_1}}{A'_{x_1}} \left( P_{x_1} - \frac{A_{x_1} P'_{x_1}}{A'_{x_1}} \right) \left( 1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{A'_{x_1}}{P_{x_1}{}^2} \left( \frac{f'_{x_1}}{\omega_0^2} - C'_{x_1} \right)} \right) \geq \\
 & - \frac{A_{x_2}}{A'_{x_1}} \left( \frac{f'_{x_1}}{\omega_0^2} - C'_{x_1} \right) - C_{x_2} + \\
 & + 2 \frac{P'_{x_1}}{A'_{x_1}} \left( \frac{A_{x_2} P'_{x_1}}{A'_{x_1}} - P_{x_2} \right) \left( 1 \pm \sqrt{1 - \frac{A'_{x_1}}{P_{x_1}{}^2} \left( \frac{f'_{x_1}}{\omega_0^2} - C'_{x_1} \right)} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

At every point  $(x_1, x_2)$  where this condition holds, there exists a spherical light ray and the photon region is formed.

Let us introduce an observer located at  $(x_{1O}, x_{2O})$  with well-defined radial coordinate (i.e. outside the black hole horizon) and an orthonormal tetrad

$$\begin{aligned}e_0 &= (Y_1 \partial_{k_1} + Y_2 \partial_{k_2})|_{(x_{1O}, x_{2O})}, \\e_1 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{D(x_1, x_2)}} \partial_{x_2} \Big|_{(x_{1O}, x_{2O})}, \\e_2 &= (Y_3 \partial_{k_1} + Y_4 \partial_{k_2})|_{(x_{1O}, x_{2O})}, \\e_3 &= -\frac{1}{\sqrt{B(x_1, x_2)}} \partial_{x_1} \Big|_{(x_{1O}, x_{2O})}.\end{aligned}$$

Coefficients  $Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, Y_4$  are chosen such that the orthonormality conditions  $g(e_0, e_0) = -1$ ,  $g(e_2, e_2) = 1$ ,  $g(e_0, e_2) = 0$  hold.

The tangent vector of light ray  $\lambda(s)$  is

$$\dot{\lambda} = \dot{x}_1 \partial_{x_1} + \dot{x}_2 \partial_{x_2} + \dot{k}_1 \partial_{k_1} + \dot{k}_2 \partial_{k_2}.$$

Moreover, there is also the tangent vector at the observation event

$$\dot{\lambda} = -\alpha e_0 + \beta(\sin \theta \cos \psi e_1 + \sin \theta \sin \psi e_2 + \cos \theta e_3).$$

$\alpha, \beta$  – positive factors

$\theta, \psi$  – the colatitude and the azimuthal angle (the celestial coordinates of the observer)

The light rays are parameterized as  $g(\dot{\lambda}, \dot{\lambda}) = -\omega_{pl}^2$  and thus

$$\alpha^2 - \beta^2 = \omega_{pl}^2|_{(x_{1O}, x_{2O})}.$$

Furthermore,  $\alpha$  can be derived as

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha = g(\dot{\lambda}, e_0) &= Y_1(\dot{k}_1 g_{k_1 k_1} + \dot{k}_2 g_{k_1 k_2}) + Y_2(\dot{k}_1 g_{k_1 k_2} + \dot{k}_2 g_{k_2 k_2}) \\ &= Y_1(-\omega_0) + Y_2 p_\kappa,\end{aligned}$$

and then

$$\beta = \sqrt{(-Y_1 \omega_0 + Y_2 p_\kappa)^2 - \omega_{pl}^2}.$$

Comparing factors of  $\partial_{x_1}$  and  $\partial_{k_2}$  in different expressions of  $\dot{\lambda}$  yields

$$\dot{x}_1 = -\beta \cos \theta \frac{1}{\sqrt{B(x_1, x_2)}},$$

$$\dot{k}_2 = -\alpha Y_2 + \beta \sin \theta \sin \psi Y_4.$$

After plugging into these general formulae, one gets

$$\sin \theta = \left( 1 + \frac{\mathcal{K} + f_{x_1} + p_\kappa^2 A_{x_1} - \omega_0^2 C_{x_1} - 2\omega_0 p_\kappa P_{x_1}}{F(x_1, x_2)((-Y_1 \omega_0 + Y_2 p_\kappa)^2 - \omega_{pl}^2)} \right)^{1/2} \Bigg|_{(x_{1O}, x_{2O})},$$

$$\sin \psi = \frac{(A_{x_1} + A_{x_2} + F(x_1, x_2) Y_2^2) p_\kappa - (P_{x_1} + P_{x_2} + F(x_1, x_2) Y_1 Y_2) \omega_0}{F(x_1, x_2) Y_4 \left[ F(x_1, x_2) (-Y_1 \omega_0 + Y_2 p_\kappa)^2 + \mathcal{K} - f_{x_2} + p_\kappa^2 A_{x_1} - \omega_0^2 C_{x_1} - 2\omega_0 p_\kappa P_{x_1} \right]^{1/2}}$$

- ▶ General conditions for the existence of the Carter constant in an axially symmetric stationary spacetime in plasma were derived.
- ▶ The necessary conditions on the form of the spacetime metric and plasma density are independent.
- ▶ General forms of the photon region and black hole shadow under defined conditions were obtained.



- ▶ Kerr Metric (Perlick and Tsupko, 2017)
- ▶ Hartle-Thorne Metric

In both cases

$$x_1 = r, x_2 = \vartheta, k_1 = t, k_2 = \varphi.$$

$$B(r, \vartheta) = \frac{\rho^2}{\Delta}, \quad D(r, \vartheta) = \rho^2,$$
$$F(r, \vartheta) = \rho^2, \quad \mathcal{F}(r) = \Delta, \quad \mathcal{G}(\vartheta) = 1,$$

$$A_r = -\frac{a^2}{\Delta}, \quad C_r = \frac{(r^2 + a^2)^2}{\Delta}, \quad P_r = -\frac{a(r^2 + a^2)}{\Delta},$$
$$A_\vartheta = \sin^{-2} \vartheta, \quad C_\vartheta = -a^2 \sin^2 \vartheta, \quad P_\vartheta = a,$$

where  $\Delta = r^2 + a^2 - 2Mr$ ,  $\rho^2 = r^2 + a^2 \cos^2 \vartheta$ .

Assuming that  $\omega_{pl}^2(r, \vartheta) = (f_r + f_\vartheta)/F(r, \vartheta)$ , applying the general formulae gives

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(r) \left( \frac{\partial S_r}{\partial r} \right)^2 + f_r + p_\varphi^2 A_r - \omega_0^2 C_r - 2\omega_0 p_\varphi P_r = \\ \Delta \left( \frac{\partial S_r}{\partial r} \right)^2 + f_r - \frac{1}{\Delta} (ap_\varphi + (r^2 + a^2)\omega_0)^2, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} -\mathcal{G}(\vartheta) \left( \frac{\partial S_\vartheta}{\partial \vartheta} \right)^2 - f_\vartheta - p_\varphi^2 A_\vartheta + \omega_0^2 C_\vartheta + 2\omega_0 p_\varphi P_\vartheta = \\ - \left( \frac{\partial S_\vartheta}{\partial \vartheta} \right)^2 - f_\vartheta - \left( \frac{p_\varphi}{\sin \vartheta} + a \sin \vartheta \omega_0 \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

See (27) in Perlick and Tsupko (2017).

$$\begin{aligned}
 A(r, \vartheta) &= A_1 \mathcal{J}_A - j_1^2 \sin^2 \vartheta r^2 \mathcal{J}_\varphi, & B(r, \vartheta) &= A_1^{-1} \mathcal{J}_B, \\
 C(r, \vartheta) &= \sin^2 \vartheta r^2 \mathcal{J}_\varphi, & D(r, \vartheta) &= r^2 \mathcal{J}_\varphi, \\
 P(r, \vartheta) &= -j_1 \sin^2 \vartheta r^2 \mathcal{J}_\varphi, & A_1 &= 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{2J^2}{r^4},
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{J}_A &= \left\{ 1 + 2P_2(\cos \vartheta) \left[ j \left( 1 + \frac{M}{r} \right) + KQ_2^2 \right] \right\}, \\
 \mathcal{J}_B &= \left\{ 1 - 2P_2(\cos \vartheta) \left[ j \left( 1 - \frac{5M}{r} \right) + KQ_2^2 \right] \right\}, \\
 \mathcal{J}_\varphi &= \left\{ 1 + 2P_2(\cos \vartheta) \left[ -j \left( 1 + \frac{2M}{r} \right) + K \left( \frac{2M}{\sqrt{r(r-2M)}} Q_2^1 - Q_2^2 \right) \right] \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

It can be seen that the ratio of terms  $B(r, \vartheta)$  and  $D(r, \vartheta)$  gives

$$\frac{B(r, \vartheta)}{D(r, \vartheta)} = \frac{\mathcal{J}_B}{A_1 r^2 \mathcal{J}_\varphi} \neq \frac{\mathcal{G}(\vartheta)}{\mathcal{F}(r)}.$$