

A note on non time-symmetric initial data sets

Markus Wolff (joint work with Armando J. Cabrera Pacheco)

February 21, 2022

February 21, 2022 1 / 15









Constraint Equations

An initial data set is a triple (M, g, K), where (M, g) is a Riemannian manifold and K a symmetric 2-tensor that satisfy the *constraint equations*

$$\begin{split} R(g) + (\mathrm{tr}_g \mathcal{K})^2 - |\mathcal{K}|_g^2 + 2\Lambda &= 16\pi\mu,\\ \mathrm{div}_g(\mathcal{K} - ((\mathrm{tr}_g \mathcal{K})g)) &= 8\pi\mathbf{J}, \end{split}$$

for a function μ and one-form **J** on M, where R(g) denotes the scalar curvature of (M, g) and Λ is called the cosmological constant.

An initial data set is a triple (M, g, K), where (M, g) is a Riemannian manifold and K a symmetric 2-tensor that satisfy the *constraint equations*

$$\begin{split} R(g) + (\mathrm{tr}_g \mathcal{K})^2 - |\mathcal{K}|_g^2 + 2\Lambda &= 16\pi\mu,\\ \mathrm{div}_g(\mathcal{K} - ((\mathrm{tr}_g \mathcal{K})g)) &= 8\pi\mathbf{J}, \end{split}$$

for a function μ and one-form **J** on M, where R(g) denotes the scalar curvature of (M,g) and Λ is called the cosmological constant. Initial data sets arise naturally in the context of General Relativity as spacelike hypersurfaces (M,g) of a spacetime $(\overline{M},\overline{g})$ with second fundamental form K and (future) timelike unit normal \vec{n} , and

$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = G(\vec{n}, \vec{n})$$
 $\mathbf{J} = G(\vec{n}, \cdot),$

where G denotes the Einstein tensor of $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$.

In the following, we will always assume that (M, g, K) is of the form $M = [r_0, \infty) \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ with

$$g = N(r, \cdot)^2 dr^2 + r^2 \sigma(r),$$

$$K = k(r, \cdot)N(r, \cdot)^2 dr^2 + p(r, \cdot)r^2 \sigma(r),$$

where *N*, *k*, *p* are differentiable functions on *M*, and $\{\sigma(r)\}_{r \in [r_0,\infty)}$ is a family of metrics on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} that satisfy

- (exponentially fast) decay towards the round metric $d\Omega^2$ as $r \to \infty$,
- tr_{σ} $\sigma' = 0.$ (cf. Mantoulidis–Schoen [4])

Rotationally symmetric case:

Assume additionally that N, k, p only depend on r and $\sigma(r) = d\Omega^2$.

In this case, the constraint equations can be written as (cf. Bartnik [1], Rácz [6]):

$$\frac{2(n-1)}{r}\partial_r N = \frac{2N^2}{r^2}\Delta_{\sigma(r)}N - \frac{R(\sigma(r))}{r^2}N^3 + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{r^2}N + \frac{N}{4}|\sigma'|^2_{\sigma(r)} - (2(n-1)kp + (n-1)(n-2)p^2)N^3 + (16\pi\mu - 2\Lambda)N^3,$$
$$(n-1)\partial_r p = \frac{(n-1)}{r}(k-p) - 8\pi \mathbf{J}_0,$$
$$\frac{(k-p)}{N}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^I}N = (n-2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x^I}p + \frac{\partial}{\partial x^I}k + 8\pi \mathbf{J}_I.$$

- ∢ /⊐ >

Asymptotic flatness

An initial data set (M, g, K) within the above familiy is asymptotically flat, iff

$$N = 1 + O_2(r^{-a}), \ k = O_2(r^{-b}), \ p = O_2(r^{-b}),$$

$$\tau_{AB} := \sigma(r)_{AB} - d\Omega_{AB}^2 = O_2(r^{-a}),$$

for $a > \frac{n-2}{2}$ and $b > \frac{n}{2}$, and furthermore μ , $\mathbf{J} \in \mathcal{L}^1(M)$. For n = 3 we find

$$E_{ADM} = \frac{1}{16\pi} \lim_{r \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \frac{2}{r} (N^2 - 1) r^2 \, \mathrm{d}V_{\mathbb{S}^2},$$
$$P_{ADM,i} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \lim_{r \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} p \frac{x^i}{|x|} r^2 \, \mathrm{d}V_{\mathbb{S}^2}$$

For an initial data set (M, g, K) within the above familiy, and for $\Sigma_r := \{r\} \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, we have

$$\left|\vec{\mathcal{H}}\right|_{\overline{g}}^{2} = \frac{(n-1)^{2}}{r^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{N^{2}} - r^{2}p^{2}\right).$$

In particular, for n = 3, their Hawking energy is given as

$$m_{\mathcal{H}}(\Sigma_r) = \frac{r}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} \left(\frac{1}{N^2} - r^2 p^2 \right) \, \mathrm{d}V_{\sigma(r)} \right).$$

Markus Wolff

We now assume that (M, g, K) is asymptotically flat with n = 3 and additionally require

- the (DEC), i.e. $\mu \geq |J|_{g}$,
- $r_0 N(r_0) |p(r_0)| = 1$ (the inner boundary is a (generalized) apparent horizon),
- $\{\Sigma_r\}_{r\in(r_0,\infty)}$ satisfies a strictly outer untrapped condition

rN|p| < 1

Recall that

$$m_H(\Sigma_{r_0}) = \sqrt{rac{|\Sigma_{r_0}|}{16\pi}},$$

and $\lim_{r\to\infty} m_H(\Sigma_{r_0}) = E_{ADM}$.

Proposition

Under the above assumptions, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial r}m_H(\Sigma_r)\geq 0.$$

<u>Proof:</u> Compute that

$$egin{aligned} &rac{\partial}{\partial r}m_{H}(\mathbf{\Sigma}_{r})\geqrac{1}{8\pi}\int\left(rac{|
abla^{\sigma}N|^{2}}{N^{2}}+rac{s^{2}}{8N^{2}}|\sigma'|_{\sigma}^{2}
ight)+\int s^{2}(oldsymbol{\mu}-N^{-1}\,|\mathbf{J}_{0}|)\ &\geq\int s^{2}(oldsymbol{\mu}-|\mathbf{J}|_{g}) \end{aligned}$$

Corollary

Let (M, g, K) satisfy all of the above. Then

$$\sqrt{\frac{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{r_0}|}{16\pi}} \leq \boldsymbol{E}_{ADM},$$

and equality holds, if and only if (M, g, K) embedds into the Schwarzschild spacetime as a rotationally symmetric slice.

- Since rigidity implies rotational symmetry, we have $E_{ADM} = m_{ADM}$ (i.e. $|P_{ADM}| = 0$),
- Proof of rigidity by reducing to rotational symmetry (cf. Mars [5]),
- the monotonity of m_{H} does not involve the full dominant energy scalar $\mu |\mathbf{J}|_{g}$

Consider the quantity

$$\Phi(r) := \int_{r_0}^r \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\mathbf{J}|_g - N^{-1} |\mathbf{J}_0| \, \mathrm{d} V_{\sigma(r)},$$

which is non-negative and well-defined for $r \to \infty$ since **J** integrable. Notice thate $\Phi(r) \equiv 0$ in rotational symmetry and moreover

$$rac{\partial}{\partial r}\left(m_{H}(\Sigma_{r})-\Phi(r)
ight)\geq\int s^{2}(\mu-\left|\mathbf{J}
ight|_{g})\geq0$$

under the above assumptions.

Corollary

Let (M, g, K) satisfy all of the above. Then

$$\sqrt{\frac{|\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{r_0}|}{16\pi}} + \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{S}^2} |\mathbf{J}|_g - N^{-1} |\mathbf{J}_0| \, \mathrm{d}V_{\sigma(r)} \leq E_{ADM},$$

and equality holds, if and only if (M, g, K) has vanishing dominant energy scalar, i.e. $\mu = |\mathbf{J}|_g$, with $|\mathbf{J}_0| = 0$, and N = N(r), $\sigma(r) = d\Omega^2$.

- Can replace Φ by any $0 \le f \le \Phi$, and recover the full ridigity statement for a large class of examples,
- Can we relate the above integral to $|P_{ADM}|$? (For a choice of f?)

Recall that in this familiy of metrcis, the constraint equations can be written as

$$\frac{2(n-1)}{r}\partial_r N = \frac{2N^2}{r^2}\Delta_{\sigma(r)}N - \frac{R(\sigma(r))}{r^2}N^3 + \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{r^2}N + \frac{N}{4}|\sigma'|^2_{\sigma(r)} - (2(n-1)kp + (n-1)(n-2)p^2)N^3 + (16\pi\mu - 2\Lambda)N^3,$$
$$(n-1)\partial_r p = \frac{(n-1)}{r}(k-p) - 8\pi \mathbf{J}_0,$$
$$\frac{(k-p)}{N}\frac{\partial}{\partial x'}N = (n-2)\frac{\partial}{\partial x'}p + \frac{\partial}{\partial x'}k + 8\pi \mathbf{J}_i.$$

In rotational symmetry, the equations decouple and simplify to

$$\frac{2(n-1)}{r}\partial_r N = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{r^2}N(1-N^2) + N^3(16\pi\mu - 2\Lambda) - N^3(2(n-1)kp + (n-1)(n-2)p^2), (n-1)\partial_r p = \frac{(n-1)}{r}(k-p) - 8\pi \mathbf{J}_0, 0 = \mathbf{J}_I.$$

Also considered by Bartnik–Isenberg [2] in the context of dynamical horizons and by Csukás–Rácz [3] in a near Schwarzschild vacuum context.

Setting $h(r) := 1 + \frac{2}{n(n-2)}\Lambda r^2 - \frac{1}{N^2}$, the constraint equations become

$$h'(r) = -\frac{(n-2)}{r}h + c_1(r),$$

$$p'(r) = -\frac{1}{r}p(r) + c_2(r).$$

with

$$c_1(r) := -r(2kp + (n-2)p^2) + rac{r}{(n-1)}16\pi\mu,$$

 $c_2(r) = rac{k}{r} - rac{8\pi}{(n-1)}\mathbf{J}_0$

with k, μ , J_0 given.

For k, μ , J_0 are given (with appropiate decay), we can first solve for p, then for h. This yields

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{N^2} &= 1 + \frac{2}{n(n-2)} \Lambda r^2 - \frac{1}{r^{n-2}} \left(C_0 - \int_r^\infty c_1(s) s^{n-2} \, \mathrm{d}s \right), \\ p &= -\frac{1}{r} \int_r^\infty c_2(s) s \, \mathrm{d}s, \end{split}$$

where the constant C_0 may be chosen freely, and N, p indeed satisfy the right decay, such that (M, g, K) is asymptotically flat.

In the context of two-parameter foliations of spacetimes (cf. Rácz [7]), we also find the following result in rotational symmetry: If we choose $J_0 = 0$, so vanishing momentum density $J \equiv 0$, then

(M, g, K) embedds into $(\overline{M}, \overline{g})$ with $\overline{M} = \mathbb{R} \times I \times \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and

$$\overline{g} = -f \,\mathrm{d}t^2 + \frac{1}{f} \,\mathrm{d}r^2 + r^2 \,\mathrm{d}\Omega^2,$$

with $f(r) := \frac{1}{N^2} - r^2 p^2$.

Thank you!

< 行

æ

Bibliography

Bartnik, R., *Quasi-spherical metrics and prescribed scalar curvature.* J. Diff Geom, **37(1)**, 31-71 (1993)



Bartnik, R., Isenberg, J., *Spherically symmetric dynamical horizons*. Class. Quantum Gravity, **23**, 2559-2569 (2006)



- Csukás, K., Rácz, I., *Numerical investigations of the asymptotics of solutions to the evolutionary form of the constraints.* Class. Quantum Gravity, **37**, 155006 (2020)
- Mantoulidis, C., Schoen, R., *On the Bartnik mass of apparent horizons.* Class. Quantum Gravity, **32**, 205002 (2015)
- Mars, M., *Present status of the Penrose inequality*. Class. Quantum Gravity, **26**, 193001 (2009)
- Rácz, I., Constraints as evolutionary systems. Class. Quantum Gravity, 33, 015014 (2016)
 - Rácz, I., *Cauchy problem as a two-surface based 'geometrodynamics'*. Class. Quantum Gravity, **32** 015006 (2015)

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >